covenants of good faith and fair dealing; and (3) violation of Nevada's Unfair Trade Practices Act.
Doc. #18. In response AMCO filed an answer to the amended complaint. Doc. #21. In its answer,
AMCO raised forty separate affirmative defenses. <i>Id.</i> Thereafter, Reed filed the present motion in
limine #1 to exclude all evidence relating to any alleged misrepresentations Reed made during the
claims process. Doc. #190.
II. Discussion
Reed seeks an order precluding AMCO from offering evidence that he made
misrepresentations to AMCO during the claims process arguing that such evidence is not relevant
to the underlying action. See Doc. #190.
The court has reviewed the documents and pleadings on file in this matter and finds it
premature to provide a general court order excluding evidence from trial in light of the pending
motions for summary judgment and for judgment on the pleadings. See Doc. ##77, 80, 92. Reed's
motion is more appropriately dealt with closer to trial after the court has reviewed and addressed
the merits of the pending motions. Accordingly, the court shall deny the present motion in limine
without prejudice.
IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that plaintiff's motion in limine #1 (Doc. #190) is
DENIED without prejudice.
IT IS SO ORDERED.
DATED this 19th day of December, 2011. Slating
LARRY R. HICKS UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE